



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,015	10/17/2001		Steve Dispensa	1573	5595
28004	7590	06/16/2004		EXAM	INER
SPRINT	UT DADIZU	17 A 37	MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH		
6391 SPRII KSOPHT01			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OVERLAN	OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			2686	
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	4 / 2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/981,015	DISPENSA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Naghmeh Mehrpour	2686
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO	ONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become AB.	pply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. I'HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application	ation.	:
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/an algetian requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control		
,	ic Examinor. Note the attached	Since Action of form 1 10 102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docur		antication No
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But 		received in this realibilat Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.
Attachment(s)	A) [] Intonúa S	ummary (PTO-413)
1) IXI Notice of References Cited (DTA 900)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed reference listed in the information Disclosure submitted on 01/30/03 has been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-60, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moura et al. 9US Patent Number 6,411,606 B1) in view of Kieider (US Patent Number 6,154,489)..

Regarding claims 1, 21, 41, Moura teaches a probe device/software for operating a probe device 73 in a broadband wireless system (see figure 4, col 5 lines 27-28, lines 35-43), the probe device 73 (col 8 lines 16-26) comprising:

an interface 75/76 (see figure 4) configured to transfer a message (col 8 lines 30-35, col 5 lines 35-37, lines 65-66); and

receiving a message (73 receiving message from 72 via 76 interface, col 8 lines 11-21, col 2 lines 4-10, col 6 lines 3-10);

a processor connected to the interface 75/76 and configured to receive a

Application/Control Nun 7: 09/981,015

Art Unit: 2686

message (col 3 lines 34-45, col 8 lines 11-21), process the message to determine channel information that indicates performance of channels in the broadband wireless system (col 2 lines 58-64, col 15 lines 11-18). Moura teaches a method wherein an upstream channel is shared by a plurality of RLAs in accordance with a credit criterion, and credit control packets are dispatched to a RLA, which permit the RLA to send data packets to arbitrary hosts. Upon sending a data packet, the RLA returns the credit control packet to a server containing software including Hybridware.TM. code, which manages data, flows. The Hybridware.TM code or Hybridware.TM server, includes software distributed among data processors in the upstream and downstream routers and elsewhere in the HASPOP, including for example in the network management system (col 3 lines 34-45). FIG. 5 is a flow chart of operation of a two-way cable network, client application 74 sends 100 data to server application 70 in an upstream direction, thereby issuing a connection request. Hybridware, TM. client 73 buffers the data received and checks if it controls an upstream data channel. If it does, then the data is transmitted forthwith. If it doesn't, Hybridware.TM. client 73 queues up the data message and creates 101 a channel request for a particular subchannel within upstream channel 75. Moura does not specifically mentions that the processor store the channel information that indicates the performance of the broadband system in a memory in the probe device. However Kleider teaches a system/method of processing and storing the channel status information that indicates the performance of the channel, in a memory in the probe device (col 7 lines 35-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the above teaching of

Kleider with Moura, in order to develop a network, which combines the flexibility of a full-duplex network with the effectiveness of a broadcast network at a reasonable cost.

Regarding claims 2, 22, 42, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the channels are upstream (col 5 lines 43-49).

Regarding claims 3, 23, 43, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the channels are downstream (col 5 lines 43-48).

Regarding claims 4, 24, 44, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the message is a credit that allows usage of one of the channels (col 14 lines 43-51).

Regarding claims 5, 25, 45, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the message indicates a completion of usage of one of the channels (col 2 lines 61-64).

Regarding claims 6, 26, 46, a probe device /software 73 wherein the probe device is connected to a switch in the broadband wireless system. (see figure 1, col 6 lines 18-21).

Regarding claims 7, 27, 47, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the probe device is connected to an upstream manager 35 in the broadband wireless system (see figures 2a, 4, col 6 lines 35-43).

Regarding claims 8, 28, 48, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the probe device is connected to a downstream manager 34 in the broadband wireless system (see figure 2a, 4, col 6 lines 35-43).

Regarding claims 9, 29, 49, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the processor (col 3 lines 40-45) is configured to determine a state of one of the channels (col 2 lines 58-60).

Regarding claims 10, 30, 50, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the state is polling (col 2 lines 50-67).

Regarding claims 11, 31, 51, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the state is dedicated (col 5 lines 15-24, col 15 lines 34-49).

Regarding claims 12, 32, 52, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the state is idle (col 2 lines 58-60).

Regarding claims 13, 33, 53, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the processor is configured to determine a time in the state (col 2 lines 61-64).

Regarding claims 14, 34, 54, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the processor is configured to monitor to a number of bytes transmitted (col 2 lines 16-24).

Application/Control Number: 09/981,015

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claims 15, 35, 55, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the processor is configured to monitor a number of messages transmitted during a state of one of the channels (col 2 lines 16-24).

Regarding claims 16, 36, 56, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the channel information comprises a state of one of the channels (col 2 lines 38-60).

Regarding claims 17, 37, 57, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the channel information comprises a change in a state of one of the channels (col 2 lines 58-64).

Regarding claims 18, 38, 58, Moura teaches a probe device /software 73 wherein the channel information comprises a number of bytes transmitted (col 2 lines 16-27).

Regarding claims 19, 39, 59, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the channel information comprises a number of messages transmitted (col 2 lines 16-27).

Regarding claims 20, 40, 60, Moura teaches a probe device/software 73 wherein the channel information comprises a time in a state of one of the channels (col 2 lines 58-61).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Weslek et al. (US Patent 6,240, 097) disclose method and apparatus for data channelization and hardware based network operation and control

Jou (US Patent 6,687,285 B1) disclose method and apparatus for supervising the performance of a quick paging channel in a dual event slotted paging system

Lynn (US Patent Number 6,662009 B2) disclose methods and apparatus for performance testing of cordless telephones

5. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications indented for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6306, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II. 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. Va., sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Melody Mehrpour whose telephone number is (703) 308-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday (first week of bi-week) and Monday through Friday (second week of bi-week) from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,015

Art Unit: 2686

If attempt to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor,

Marsha Banks-Harold be reached (703)305-4379.

NM

June 9, 2004

CHARLES APPIAH PRIMARY EXAMINER